----- Public Document Pack -----

Agenda - Equality, Local Government and Communities Committee

Meeting Venue: For further information contact:

Video conference via ZoomCatherine HuntMeeting date: 30 November 2020Committee ClerkMeeting time: 13.000300 200 6565

<u>SeneddCommunities@senedd.wales</u>

In accordance with Standing Order 34.19, the Chair has determined that the public are excluded from the Committee's meeting in order to protect public health. This meeting will be broadcast live on www.senedd.tv

Informal pre-meeting

(13.00 - 13.30)

The Committee agreed to exclude the public from item 1 of today's meeting on 27 November 2020.

1 Inter-Ministerial Group on Paying for Social Care: Technical briefing jointly with the Finance Committee

13.30–14.15 (Pages 1 – 24)

Matthew Jenkins, Deputy Director, Partnership & Cooperation
Anna Adams, Deputy Director, Head of Tax Strategy Policy and Engagement

Break

(14.15-14.30)

2 Introductions, apologies, substitutions and declarations of interest

(14.30)



3 Scrutiny of the Future Generations Commissioner for Wales annual report

(14.30–15.45) (Pages 25 – 40)

Sophie Howe, Future Generations Commissioner for Wales

Jacob Dafydd Ellis, Change Maker Lead: Public Affairs and International

Report: <u>Future Generations Commissioner for Wales Annual Report 2019–</u> 2020

4 Papers to note

(15.45)

4.1 Correspondence from Individual regarding safer streets and disability equality - 23 November 2020

(Pages 41 - 50)

Motion under Standing Order 17.42 to resolve to exclude the public from the remainder of the meeting and the meeting on 7 December 2020

(15.45)

6 Scrutiny of the Future Generations Commissioner for Wales annual report – consideration of evidence

(15.45-16.00)

Agenda Item 1

By virtue of paragraph(s) vi of Standing Order 17.42

Agenda Item 3

Dear Members,

Agenda Item 4.1

To the Members of the Equality, Local Government and Communities Committee

I recently wrote to members of the cross-party Disability Committee, and received a prompt reply from Mark Isherwood MS who explained that yours is the formal

committee and that I may wish to also write to you.

I am writing because my 15-year-old daughter has used a powered wheelchair since she was about six, and for all of that time we have struggled to get around safely within our community in Cardiff. Lack of dropped kerbs, tree roots and driveways cut into paths cause obstacles that force her into the road, sometimes very busy roads. Clearly this means she has to be accompanied, which puts family members in danger too. It also seriously restricts her freedom and has delayed the development of her personal independence and confidence.

After a 10-year wait for Cardiff Council to put pedestrian lights on a very dangerous junction between our house and our local shopping street I have reluctantly taken on a public campaign to highlight the issue. is unable to go shopping and meet friends because there are no safe places to cross the busy road. I first raised the problem with Cardiff in 2008, and it assessed the junction and put it on its "todo" list in 2011. It came to the top of the list last year and was just about to be sorted in the spring, but the expected funding fell through and there was no sign of a "plan B".

I started a	petition a few week	ks ago, which has bee	n signed over 800
times:			
We also made a video	and posted it on soc	cial media, where	explains how this
affects her. The video	has already been wa	atched over 7,000 tim	ies on Facebook and
Twitter.			

Soon after this the BBC covered the story on its website and it its evening Wales Today programme.

As a result of our campaign I was contacted by Cardiff's cabinet member for transport, and we will be meeting him soon to discuss a solution to this particular problem, which sounds promising.

However, I am writing to you because I a know for certain that this issue causes problems all over Wales. I am sure you would all agree that equality law exists to **spare individuals the indignity of fighting for basic rights**, including being able to get around communities safely. The duty to make reasonable adjustments, which as you will all know has been in law for 25 years this year, is **anticipatory**, and yet in 2017, on one of several occasions when I asked for some dropped kerbs to be installed, I received a letter from an officer which stated:

"Cardiff Council's mobility programme is to deal with adhoc requests and is on a reactive basis in keeping with available budgets" [sic].

I would argue that this is an unlawful approach. It is not the letter-writer that is at fault here, but the system for dealing with long-standing access barriers in neighbourhoods built before dropped kerbs were required. There is no evidence of any systematic attempt to address kerbs and barriers in a way which provides linear access routes around older neighbourhoods.

As well as being unlawful it is also exhausting for those that have to do all the fighting. We've successfully secured a number of dropped kerbs at various places in our community over the years, which usually requires a long wait once the job is accepted – of up to two years – and several chasings-up along the way.

I read a newspaper article a few weeks ago about Breda, a Dutch city which won an accessibility award in

2019. https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2019/may/28/people-arent-disabled-their-city-is-inside-europes-most-accessible-city The changes in Breda were planned for the city as a whole and include ramps, levelling of cobblestones, accessible bus stops, portable ramps to shops and restaurants put out first thing in the morning, and more. A quick Google search reveals that other counties in the UK (Kent was one example I found) are taking a more proactive and systematic – i.e. lawful – approach to dealing with kerbs and community barriers. So it doesn't have to be like this.

It is not only the council's transport department that is failing to apply the anticipatory reasonable adjustments duty. A quick survey of our local shopping street in Cardiff recently revealed that around half of the properties (shops and restaurants etc) have a step up to the door, meaning that often has to sit and wait outside on the pavement while we go inside. We've been slowly working our way round them asking them to purchase a cheap portable pavement ramp, which usually costs £40 at most. Around five places have done this so far, but I question why we are having to ask. Surely a small purchase like this represents a reasonable adjustment. Many of these premises are inspected for food hygiene or receive other council communications. Why are none of these asking about reasonable adjustments?

Back in 2012 I carried out around 40 hours of research and conducted a campaign to address the poor accessibility of Wales's schools to wheelchair users. At that time I was driven by my shock at discovering that half of Cardiff's high schools were inaccessible to wheelchair-users. At the heart of this problem was, again, a lack of proactive compliance with Schedule 10 of the Equality Act (laws which had, again, been lifted from the DDA). Councils were not installing lifts, disabled toilets and level access in school buildings until a wheelchair-user was considering applying to the school, by which stage it was generally too late to address the expensive problems and the child and family were encouraged to apply elsewhere. The campaign resulted in the two successive Children's Commissioners publishing reports in 2014 and 2018, both of which received media attention:

school in Cardiff, which now enthusiastically includes several wheelchair-users, was inaccessible when I started my work in 2012.

I would be really pleased if you would tell me about any work your Committee is doing to improve public bodies' compliance with disability equality legislation, and in particular to ensure that older shops, schools, streets etc are systematically reviewed to address access barriers. The first step must surely be to assess the scale of the problems. I believe that one of the issues is that whilst equality impact assessments are important, and should be carried out more frequently and thoroughly, they focus all the attention on things that are being planned, and no attention on things that are not being done but should be. I also feel, in relation to the disability equality agenda in particular, that almost all of the attention is placed on employment rights and representation in public life instead of on problems faced by children and young people. This feels like it is the wrong way round to me – if we want to change attitudes in society it would be better to focus effort on children whilst their attitudes and expectations of life are being developed.

As a family we are getting tired of fighting these battles, whilst listening to people talking about the social model of disability. I also feel that is starting to accept these situations and lower her expectations about what life has to offer her, and what she has to offer in return.

Kind regards



By virtue of paragraph(s) vi of Standing Order 17.42